ORIGINAL

Betty Camargo

W-01865A-07-0384 W-01865A-07-0385



From:

colleen jonovich [cjonovich_61@hotmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, October 20, 2008 4:58 PM

To:

Gleason-WebEmail

Subject: FW: Groom Creek Water

RECEIVED

2008 OCT 21 P 3: 54

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

From: cjonovich_61@hotmail.com

To: mayes-web@azcc.gov Subject: Groom Creek Water

Date: Mon, 20 Oct 2008 16:55:05 -0700

Reg. Docket # W-01865A-07-0385

We are full time residents of Groom Creek. We are in favor of the water delivery upgrade project. We have had the old pipe bust a number of times. The last time it took 5 days to get the water back on. Although noone wants to see rates go up, we feel this project is necessary to ensure the water system remains great well into the future. Thank you. John and Colleen Jonovich

Want to read Hotmail messages in Outlook? The Wordsmiths show you how. Learn Now

You live life beyond your PC. So now Windows goes beyond your PC. See how

Arizana Comparation Commission

DOCKETED

(C. 2.1.200)

MV KERRITOR

Betty Camargo

Subject: FW: Groom Creek Water Company

From: Elizabelle@aol.com [mailto:Elizabelle@aol.com]

Sent: Monday, October 20, 2008 6:31 PM

To: Mayes-WebEmail; Mundell-Web; gkeasib-web@azcc.gov; Pierce-Web

Subject: Groom Creek Water Company

This note is regarding the proposed rate increase for the Groom Creek Community's Water Association's Company.

I fully understand that we do need a substantial water rate increase. I understand the proposed water rates, and I do realize that to fix our system we do need to have a rate increase.

That said, my family and I have given up our cable television and the garbage pick-up in anticipation of meeting our monthly cabin bills with a rate increase. Like many others, my husband and I are retired. Our cabin has been in our family since 1962, and we are concerned about the economy as well as making sure that our cabin can remain a part of our family's future. We would like to will the cabin to our children as my parents did to us.

My father helped found and helped qualify the Groom Creek community to own this company by going to school and participating in the community and in the water board. He was very proud of this and would be sick at heart with the division that this issue has caused in our little community.

My family and I question whether we need such a large amount and why that amount doesn't even include any water. We would like to be sure that the \$50 rate is really indeed necessary, by <u>substantiated</u> facts submitted by qualified individuals (or by submitted reports by the governing board) and not just a figure of someone's estimation. We would also like to suggest that the \$50 come with some water and some regulations on the board itself.

I personally feel out-of-the-loop much of the time with the huge amounts presented to the community by the board, and I would like to suggest that at least three comparable estimates be submitted for repair/replacement of the system and/or its parts. In this way, perhaps the community would feel more confidence in the board's decisions.

In summary then, my suggestions are: 1) The information that has been presented to the Corporation Commission as factual be substantiated, 2) That there be several avenues for repair/replacement of the system and/or its parts be investigated, 3) That if it is decided that repair/replacement is necessary, that at least three written estimates from companies not associated with any members of the board, its attorneys or its advisors be submitted for the said repair/replacement, 4) That Water Company's by-laws not be changed without notice and a vote, 5) If \$50 is going to be the base rate, that it come with at least a minimum of water, and 6) That administration of the said monies be monitored even more closely than one would monitor a grandchild's college education fund.

Sincerely, Bette Schultz 1121 Stagecoach 602-677-2747

New MapQuest Local shows what's happening at your destination. Dining, Movies, Events, News & more. Try it out!